G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 111-19

1919.

Serial Nos. 1-6 & 11

(Cts)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P. 27 E

Serial Nos. Seven

Part B for March 1920.

SUBJECT.

Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division.

Sl (11) To
The Regr., Chief Court., Dist. Magts & Bangalore, Kolar & Tumkur, Dist & Sessions Judge, Bangalore, the I.G. of Police & the Comptroller to Government.

No. G. 16466-73 Dy 14th January 1920.

Appoints Mr. C. Narayanaswami Naidu, as Public Prosecutor ~~for~~ Bangalore Division for a period of 3 years.

Copy to Mr. C. Narayanaswami Naidu no. 16474.
—— Mr. D. M. Ramachandra Rao no. 16475

W D1650—GPB—30,000—28-1-19

P. Subba Rao.
27/3.

G. R. S. III—1] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 111-19

FILE HEADING.

Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division

From

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos. Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

8761

Read

) The extension of service of Mr. D. M. Ramachendra Rao, Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, was sanctioned for one year from the 13th January 1919. (G.O. no G. 24040/ch. 144-18-10 of 19th Ap. 19). So, his term of office will come to a close on the 13th January 1920. Fresh proposals

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

617

C5 File No. 111 of 1919.

Serial No.

2) The connected file is put up. Orders solicited as to the further action to be taken in the matter.

12/11
Chief Secy

3) Submd for orders
D. M. 12/11

4) The Dt Judge & the D.C. may be consulted.

2nd member 5) Yes.
19/11/19
D. M. 20/11
22/11/19

6) Drafts
D. M. 22/11

2487
20-11-19

C 24/11

7) Submitted with a draft as per order supra.

28/11/19
26/11

8) Issue
D. M.
3/12

1 To the D.G of Police, Dist & Sessions Judge, Bangalore,
D.M.S of Kolar, Tumkur & Bangalore.
No. G. 12947-51 dt 5th December 1919.

States that the extended term of office of the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division will expire on the 13th Jan. 1920 & requests favour of sending their views on the matter.

From,

The District & Sessions Judge, Bangalore.
No. M. 2374/580 dt 10th Dec 1919 recd on 12-12-19
recommends that Mr. Dm. Ramachendra

PROTECTION BRANCH. 13 DEC 1919

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—13-6-14

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 71 of 1919.

9243

Serial No.	
	term may be extended by another 3 years for reasons mentioned herein.
	a) Replies from the District Magistrates of Kolar, Tumkur and Bangalore and from the Inspector-General of Police may be awaited.
	Submitted. As the Public Prosecutor's term expires on the 12th Jan' 1920, a Draft rem is put up for appl
	17/12/19
	17/12
	18/12
20	The I.G of Pol., D.M's of Bangalore, Kolar & Tumkur No 14076-9, dt 20th Dec 19.
	Reminds them in the matter of furnishing their views re: the extended term of office of the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division
	Telegrams have been issued to Dt Magts of Kolar & Tumkur as directed
	Draft Fair DO rem to Insp of Police & Dt Bangalore, Kolar
	22/12
	Entered in G 1113 5-6 Date
3.	From,
	The Dist Magistrate, Tumkur District
	T.C. 316/19-20 dt 19/22-12-19 rec'd on 26-12-19
	Recommends that the term of this office may be extended by another 3 years.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(6

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C/ File No. 111 of 1919.

Serial No.	
4	From the District Magistrate, Bangalore District D/2 Dis. 108 of 22-12-19 recd on 26-12-19 Recommends that the term of his office may be extended for a period of another year.
5	From, The District Magistrate, Kolar District D/2 Dis 138/19-20 of 22-12-19 recd on 27-12-19 states that he has no objection to his continuance in the office & adds that he has been in charge of that District only for the last 6 months.
6	From, The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore R.O.C no 1635/19-20 of 23-12-19 recd on 27-12-19 states that he entirely concurs in the view expressed by his predecessor in his letter no 4622/321 of the 8th Jany 1919, copy of which is also enclosed for reference & that therein there is no objection against him.

Replies from all the Officers required, received. Submitted for orders.

RR 27-12-19

While the Dt Sessions Judge, Bangalore and the Dt Magistrate, Tumkur, recommend the continuance of Mr Ramachandrarao for 3 more years, the Dt Magte of Bangalore says that the term may be extended by one year. The Dt Magte, Kolar is in favour of Mr's continuance, but does not fix any period. The Ig

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(5)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 98 III of 1919.

Serial No.

3303
29/12/19

of Police has invited reference to the Officer
of his predecessor in office & stated that there
there is nothing against the Officer.

Put for orders.
27/12

2nd member
Dewan

Boo
29-12-19.

Please put up the file relating
to the reward enhancement & the Prisoners
to which upon representation passed by
the Judicial Magistrate Kolar under
his proceedings dated 29 was paid.

Ubeid
31/12

The file desired is enclosed herewith
2nd member

Boo
31/12/19

Boo
31-12-19.

Reference is invited to the Financial
Note & the Government Order
dated the 13th Jul 1919 wherein it
is observed "the Diplomas awarded have
been already issued as public rewards for two consecutive
years & their grant each, and it
seems desirable in the interests
of economy that the grants
in place be given and

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

more capable members of the profession" The Council then recommended his name, three members of the Bangalore Bar for selection for the Public Prosecutorship and the resolution of the Council was approved by His Highness. Two of these nominees declined his appt. when it was offered to them and his name attached a condition which acceptance appt. which was not acceptable to Govt. So his name Mr Rama Chandra Rao's appt. was suggested by another gen and he has in all past in 7 years service as public Prosecutor.

When his question of giving him an extension at his end of his 2nd term of office was under consideration, I was asked to give my opinion about his work as Inspector General of Police. I observed as follows

"Mr Rama Chandra Rao has his work guided the wishes of his superiors & methods from prosecution under sec 494 Cr PC though the evidence did not —

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 111 of 1919

Serial No.

[illegible] withdrawal". In this point the entire argument of Mr. Rama Rao rests, as District Magistrate, Guntur, I entirely concur in the view expressed by my predecessor in his letter [illegible] of 5th June 19. Of the District Magistrates consulted here, except the District Magistrate, Guntur, the District Magistrate has given his whole hearted support to the proposal contained in letter, dated [illegible]. The District Magistrate, Bangalore seems entirely to misunderstand the District Magistrate's [illegible] when he has been in charge for the District for the last 6 months.

Quite recently, in an instance of a grave disturbance of duty on the part of Mr. Ramakrishna Rao, an order by the Magistrate of Bangalore personal conduct has come to notice of the Mr. Rama Rao was

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 191

Serial No.

asked to conduct prosecution in the Sandal wood theft cases, which has desired that he may not appear unless a fee of Rs 100 per diem is paid. This was the high a fee which paid to lawyer & his standing, the spirit in which it was demanded was the most objectionable. Under the rules it was his duty to appear in a post case and have the right & practice to engage a lawyer when he is prosecuted in the mofussil (Rs 16 per diem) which deserves & which Govt. but this flat refusal to take up his engagement should not be in keeping with the power & duty which Government is concerned. His services may therefore be dispensed with. Municipal from the rolls until he shall decide his position & state his wishes.

As regards his successor the name of Mr Vaidi Ramaswami Naidu a High Court Vakil and Advocate & the Mysore Chief Court was recommended

Serial No.

by me in his last address. But his candidature was not approved & he was [illegible] his opinion expressed by Mr N J Upala Iyengar District Judge & Bangalore whose characteristics and secretarial as are well known and have even brought which notice of / Mr by Sir Leslie Miller. Mr N J Upala Iyengar had not been more keen than his merits & in his behalf and all his time he was employed by and in bringing Sessions cases and Mr Waiden could not possibly have appeared before him. Since then Mr Waiden has produced a certificate from Sir by Sir Leslie Miller which convinces it does from a mere [illegible] Sir Miller's stamp [illegible] Sets a high value upon his work & Mr Waiden as a lawyer - Sir Leslie says. The principal reason in which I rested his appearance was his well known character case known as his pure has case. His arguments in that case quite satisfied me - the evidence was [illegible] length and his facts [illegible] and

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

complicated but he had evidently mastered [illegible] case in it [illegible] and had his whole thing at his fingers' end". In an appeal case A A 284 of 16-17 his proofs of his Chief Court [illegible] his pleadings both Hon'ble Judges & the Judicial Commissioner Hon'ble Mr. Nanavatwa Esq. have made complimentary reference [illegible] in their judgment. They speak [illegible] as an "intelligent lawyer who intelligently argued his case. Sahib Mr. would not have called him an intelligent lawyer if he was 'behind the mark' [illegible] [illegible] I may add my own testimony to that of the eminent judges of the Chief Court. He appeared before me in the Chief Court in three criminal appeals and I found him to be an intelligent and painstaking lawyer with a full grasp of details. He will be quite a success as a Public Prosecutor and I have no hesitation in recommending him for that place.

C/5 - Include in proposal for appointment [illegible] 5/1/20.

In person 4/1/20

Drawing C/5 may be considered in Court at the next hearing 5/1/20. cases 6-1-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 510th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 8th January *19*20.

2. Subject.- Clause v of the Schedule.

Appointment of a Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.

Opinion of the Council.

Mr. C.Narayanasami Naidu, B.A., B.L. may be appointed Public Prosecutor, Bangalore from 13th January 1920 vice Mr.D.M.Ramachandra Rao.

(Sd.) M. Kantaraj Urs,
Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

C S Balasundaram

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1148—GPB—1,000—23-12-18

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

12

G. R. S. III-2]

C·348

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

To the ASK. Secretary, Branch III pg/46,
for kindly submitting the file to the Second Member of Council with a draft Final Note.

CB. pc D.J.N. CBK 10/1

CBK 12/1/20.

D.J.N. Subd
2nd member)

DFN unsubmitted CBK 12/1/20
Subd
12/1

F N typed -
13/1

D.S.N. Submitted.

Dewan CBK 13/1/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

17

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:-

Appointment of a Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.

The term of office of Mr.Ramachandra Rao, Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, expired on the 12th January 1920. He was appointed Public Prosecutor in 1913 for a period of 3 years and was given extension for another term of 3 years in 1916. When the question of extending his term of office last year came up before the Council it was considered desirable that he should make room for a younger and more capable member of the profession, since his work was not favourably reported upon by the Inspector General of Police. But as there was some difficulty in finding a suitable successor to take his place his term of office was extended by another year. Quite recently I observed in a certain case that he was lacking in a sense of duty to the Government and I therefore suggested when the papers about the further extension of his term of office came before me this year that his services should be terminated. I suggested also for the reasons given in my minute dated 4th January 1920 that Mr.C.Narayanaswami Naidu, a High Court Vakil and Advocate of the Chief Court and a Mysorean by birth, might be appointed in the place of Mr.Ramachandra Rao. Mr.Narayanaswami Naidu is an intelligent and painstaking lawyer and his work has been well spoken of by Sir Leslie Miller.

Pages 5 to 10 of Courts file No 111 of 19

2. The question was considered in Council and it was resolved that Mr.C.Narayanaswami Naidu be appointed Public Prosecutor, Bangalore from 13th January 1920.

Page 11 of do

3. The recommendation of the Council may be graciously approved.

1038 13th January 1920.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

14

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the....Second.....

Member of Council on the subject of.....the..appointment

of..a..Public..Prosecutor,..Bangalore.,.....

.....

for His Highness' gracious approval.

13th Jan. 1928

Dewan.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Appointment of C. Narayanaswami Naidu as Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division.

757

Order.

~~KRW~~
14/1/20

Ch. Leg
Secy
17.1.20

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. 368

CS File No. of 191

Serial No.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of (*)

His Highness' orders ~~before~~ after issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure).

CS Balasundaram 17/1/20

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government,

....Department.

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL WB

Third

~~TEMPORARY~~ MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Draft order is submitted
17/1/20 2nd member
17/1/20

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

WD 1573—GPB—500—4-3-19

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 111 of 1919.

Serial No. 11

To the Registrar, Chief Court.
Dist & Sessions Judge, Bangalore
Dist Magistrate of Bangalore, Kolar
Inspector-General of Police & Mysore
Comptroller & Supt.

G.O. No S. 1676-72 & 15-1-1920

appointing Mr. C. Narayanaswami Naidu B.A B.L. High Court Vakil & Advocate of the Chief Court as Public Prosecutor ~~for~~ Bangalore Civil, for a period of 3 years.

11 MAR 1920

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

TO

Mir Humza Hussain Sahib Esqr. B.A, B.L.,

H. Member of Council

The humble memorial of Challa Narayanaswamy Naidu B. A. B. L., High Court Vakil, (Madras) & Advocate of the Chief Court of Mysore.

Sir

1. I am a Mysorean by birth and education, being a native of Chikballapur and a Graduate of the Central College, and aged 31 years.

2. I have the honour of being the first and the only Graduate in Law from the Telagu Balija community which is hardly represnted in any department of thē Government though it comprises a large portion of the agricultural and commercial classes of the State, contributing a great part of its revenue.

3. I was enrolled in the year 1914 & have since beēn commanding a decent practicē not only in this Province but also in British Courts viz. the Courts of Cuddappa, Chittur, Salem & Madras

I had the good fortune to be engaged in and to successfully dēfend such big and sensational cases as the Maddagiri Rioting case and the Guru Rao murder case.

4. As regards my ability as a lawyer the learned Chief Judge Sir Leslie Miller was pleased to write as follows:-

" Thē prinicipal case in which I recollect his appearance was the well known murder case known as the Guru Rao case. His arguments in that case quite satisfied mē. The evidence was of great length and the facts many & complicated but he had evidently bestowēd great care on it & hād the whole thing at his finger's ends. „

(Letter dated 26-5-19 from Coonoor)

And Mr. Justice Chandra Sekhara Iyer and Mr. Justice Paramasiva Iyer were pleased to record in R. A. 284 of 16-17 as follows;-

"The intelligent Advocate Mr. C. Narayanasamy Naidu.....ingeniously argued etc.,

5. I therefore most humbly request you to be graciously pleased to appoint me as a Special Magistrate or as a public prosecutor

6. I may add that I was recently an applicant for the post of the public prosecutor for Bangalore Dn. but His Highness the Maharaja was pleased to give an extention to the present incumbent.

And I will ever pray for your long life and happiness.

Chikpet
Bangalore City
18th Nov. 19.

C. Narayanaswamy Naidu

5/12 5:10 p.m.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

( )

, the *191*

Date of issue..... 5/12

Signed by..... M. P.

Section C 6

File No. 111-19

Serial No. 1.

No. G 12947 51

To

The Inspector-General of Police in Mysore

" District & Sessions Judge, Bangalore D.

" District Magistrates of Bangalore D. Kolar & Tumkur DS

Sir,

I am directed to State that the extended claim of office of Mr. D. M. Ramachendra Rao as Public Prosecutor, Bangalore D., will expire on the 13th Jan. 1920, and to request you to be so good as to favour Government with your ~~opinion~~ proposal views as to the in the matter of filling up the vacancy

I have &c.

over.

D. M.

5/12

Per Chief Sec.y.

Continuing his service as such or otherwise

25/11/19

M. 2374/5-80
10-12-19

9243

1919-1920
C/o
111-19

.13894

From

N. T. Gopaliengar, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
District and Sessions Judge, Bangalore.
Camp TUMKUR.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B. A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Dated 9th December 1919.
No.

Sir,

With reference to your No. G.12947-51/Cts. 111-19-1 dated 5th December 1919, I have the honor to state that Mr. D. M. Ramachandra Rao, Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, continues to do very good work as Public Prosecutor and I would recommend that his term may be extended by another three years. There may be some juniors aspiring to the post but I am doubtful if any one of them is really so good as the present Public Prosecutor who is not only senior at the bar but has at considerable sacrifice to his civil practice been working satisfactorily.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
N. Gopaliengar
Sessions Judge.

MSC.

Office of the District Magistrate,

Tumkur District

Dated the 19th December 1919.

9777
19-20
Ch
111—19
No 3.

From

Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,

District Magistrate,

Tumkur District, T u m k u r.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja Of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. G. 12947-51/Cts.111-19-1 dated the 5th December 1919, regarding the further extension of the term of Office of Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao, as Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, I have the honor to state that he is an intelligent and painstaking Advocate. He has worked as Public Prosecutor for 4 years and has gained considerable experience in the work. He may be appointed for a further term of 3 years till the end of December 1922.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Ananda Rao

District Magistrate.

Bv.

B.V.

Office of the District Magistrate,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 22nd December 1919.

9779

From

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.G.12947-51/Cts. 111-19-1 - dated the 5th instant calling for my views with regard to the extension of term of office of Mr. D. M. Ramachendra Rao as - Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, I have the honor to - state that his term of office may be extended for a period of another year.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

B.V.R.

WD 1541—GPB—50,000—20-3-19—S.

16379 1919

From The Dt: magistrate
Bangalore district.

To The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Dated
Despatched } 22-12-19
Received

General No. 49 2 Misc 108
No.

Enclosure No.

States that the
term of office of
Mr. D. M. Ramas
chendra Rao
Public Prosecutor
may be extended
for a period of
another year.

23/12

PROTECTION
DEC 1919

26/12

Immediate

9825
Cts
19—20
111—19
5

Office of the District Magistrate,
Kolar District,
Dated Kolar, the 22nd December 1919.

D 22 / 138
2 5-20

From

Md. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esquire., B.A.,
District Magistrate, Kolar District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.G.12947/Cts.111-19-1 dated 5th December 1919, regarding continuance of Mr.D.M. Ramachendra Rao, as Public Prosecutor, I have the honor to state that there is no objection to his continuance, and to add that I have been in charge of this District only for the last 6 months.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[signature]
District Magistrate,
Kolar District.

9827 19-20 b
Ch 14-29

Rc No. 1635 Office of the Inspector General of Police in Mysore,
19-20 Enn/ Dated Bangalore, the 23rd December 1919.

6

From

A. SUBRAHMANYA IYER, Esq., B.A.,

Offg.Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

S i r,

With reference to your letter No. G.12947-51/Cts. 111-19-1 of the 5th Instant, and subsequent reminder No.G.14076-9 of the 20th Idem and D.O.No.G.14305-6/Cts. 111-19 of the 22nd Idem, calling for my opinion regarding the extension of the term of ~~the~~ Mr.D.M.Ramachendra Rao, Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, I have the honor to state in reply that from my experience of Mr. Ramachendra Rao's work, as District Magistrate of Tumkur, I entirely concur in the view expressed by my predecessor in his letter No.4422/321 of the 8th January 1919, copy of which is enclosed for ready reference. Otherwise there is nothing against him.

I have the honor to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg.Inspector General of Police.

2

16549

From

The Offg. Inspector General of Police
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 23rd December 19

Despatched

No. Roc 1635 Cy-20 Ene/

Re. The extension of the term
of Mr. D. Mr. Ramachandra Rao
Public Prosecutor Bangalore

Wd. 27/12

Letter No. 4422/321 dated 8th January 1919, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

--o--

Adverting to your letter No.13072-6/144-18 of the 3rd Instant, I have the honor to inform you that I have found that in some cases Mr. Ramachendra Rao has too readily yielded to the wishes of the Judges to withdraw from Prosecutions under Section 494 C.P.C. though the evidence did not justify such withdrawals.

--o--

COPY

Offg. Inspector General of Police.

Ch. III-19-11.

Proceedings of the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

...

Read:-

G.O. No.G.24040-5/Cts.144-18-10 dated 19th April 1919 extending the term of Mr. D.M.Ramachandra Rao, Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, by another year from the 13th January 1919.

Order No. G 6466-72

Dated, 19/4/20

Under Section 492 of the Cr. P. C.

Govt. are pleased to appoint Mr. C. Narayanaswami Naidu, B.A., B.L., a High Court Vakil and an Advocate of the Chief Court, as Public Prosecutor for a period of 3 years, ~~on a salary of Rs 200-10-300 p.m.~~ and post him to the Bangalore Division.

He will relieve Mr. D.M.Ramachandra Rao as early as practicable.

CSK 17/4/20.

Chief Secretary to Govt.

To

G 6473

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, (with a covering letter.)

The District & Sessions Judge, Bangalore.

The District Magistrates of Bangalore, Kolar & Tumkur.

The Inspector General of Police.

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

G 16474 & 19/1/20

Copy to Mr. C. Narayanaswami Naidu
with the request that he will report
himself for duty to the Distt Magistrate
Bangalore under intimation to this office - Respondent
at Rs 200-50-300 per mensem

By order

C&S
17/1/20
Asst-Secretary to Govt.

KW
17/1/20

Memo No:

Copy to Mr. Dr. Ramachendra Rao,
for information, in continuation of this
his G.O. No. ~~2140 5/Ch. 64 18-9 &~~
~~20-1~~ G.16259 - 64/Ch III-19-9 &
16-1-1920.